

Functionalized 4-Carboxy- and 4-Keto-2,3-dihydropyrroles via Ni(II)-Catalyzed Nucleophilic Amine Ring-Opening Cyclizations of Cyclopropanes

M. Cynthia Martin, Dadasaheb V. Patil, and Stefan France*

School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A general synthetic approach to vinylogous 4carboxy- and 4-keto-2,3-dihydropyrroles is reported using Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O as a Lewis acid catalyst for nucleophilic amine ring-opening cyclizations of donor-acceptor (D-A) cyclopropanes. This methodology provided good to excellent yields of functionalized 2,3-dihydropyrroles under milder reaction conditions than previously reported and is amenable to a variety of D-A cyclopropanes and primary amines.

INTRODUCTION

Among nitrogen-containing five-membered heterocycles, 2,3dihydropyrroles^{1,2} (1) have garnered significant attention in the literature because of their presence in various natural products and pharmaceutically relevant compounds (Figure 1).3 They

Figure 1. Reactivity of 2,3-dihydropyrroles.

are also extremely versatile synthetic building blocks for the preparation of functionalized pyrrolidines⁴ (2, their fully reduced counterparts) and pyrroles⁵ (3, their fully oxidized counterparts). 2,3-Dihydropyrroles can be readily exploited for further functionalization because of the presence of the enamine moiety. Moreover, when an electron-withdrawing group is substituted at the 4-position on the dihydropyrrole, extended conjugation with the enamine is observed, and vinylogous reactivity is possible.

Surprisingly, this type of reactivity has not been reported for dihydropyrroles in the synthetic literature. Thus, at the start of this project, we aimed to address this gap in knowledge by studying the reactivity of vinylogous 2,3-dihydropyrroles. However, we were surprised to find that despite the numerous reported synthetic efforts toward 2,3-dihydropyrroles⁶⁻¹¹ general methods that access vinylogous dihydropyrroles are limited to a handful of examples. 96,1

The most general approach to 2,3-dihydropyrroles bearing electron-withdrawing groups in the 4-position involves ringopening cyclizations of cyclopropyl ketones in the presence of primary amines (Figure 2). Lhommet first reported this approach for donor-acceptor (D-A) cyclopropanes derived

Figure 2. Amine ring-opening cyclizations of cyclopropanes to form 2,3-dihydropyrroles.

from β -ketoesters. ^{12a} The reactions gave 4-carboxy-dihydropyrroles in modest to good yields but were performed in refluxing methanol in sealed tubes for up to 24 h or using the amine as solvent under reflux (>140 °C) for up to 8 h. Charette reported the use of D-A cyclopropanes derived from α -nitro ketones and α -cyano ketones for the formation of 4-nitro- and 4-cyano-dihydropyrroles, respectively. 12c

Over the past 5 years, our group has reported several examples of Lewis acid-catalyzed intramolecular ring-opening cyclizations of doubly activated D-A cyclopropanes derived from 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. 13 Lewis acids have recently been shown to promote ring-opening reactions of D-A cyclopropanes in the presence of amines under milder conditions. In representative examples by Charette¹⁴ and Tang, ¹⁵ Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O effectively promotes the ring-opening reactions of secondary amines with malonate-derived D-A cyclopropanes to give homoconjugate addition products. As an expansion of our work, we envisaged a milder approach to 4carboxy-dihydropyrroles via primary amine ring-opening

Received: January 17, 2014 Published: March 6, 2014

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

entry	R	loading	amine	temp	time (h)	% yield ^b
1	2-propenyl (4a)	30 mol %	250 mol %	rt	3	89
2	2-propenyl (4a)	30 mol %	200 mol %	rt	3	60
3	2-propenyl (4a)	30 mol %	120 mol %	rt	3	50
4	2-propenyl (4a)	20 mol %	250 mol %	rt	6	67
5	2-propenyl (4a)	15 mol %	250 mol %	rt	>16	50
6	2-propenyl (4a)	15 mol %	250 mol %	reflux	1	65 ^c
7	2-propenyl (4a)	15 mol %	200 mol %	reflux	1	67 ^c
8	2-propenyl (4a)	15 mol %	120 mol %	reflux	2	80 ^c
9	2-propenyl (4a)	5 mol %	250 mol %	reflux	2	47 ^c
10	2-propenyl (4a)	5 mol %	200 mol %	reflux	2	56 ^c
11	phenyl (4b)	30 mol %	250 mol %	rt	2	77
12	phenyl (4b)	15 mol %	200 mol %	rt	>16	52
13	phenyl (4b)	15 mol %	200 mol %	reflux	11	70
14	phenyl (4b)	15 mol %	120 mol %	reflux	2	83

[&]quot;Reactions run with cyclopropane (1 equiv), benzyl amine, and Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O in CH₂Cl₂ according to conditions indicated. ^bIsolated yield after column chromatography. ^cVariable amounts of **5w** were observed.

cyclizations of D-A cyclopropanes in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst. In this article, we report the results of our studies.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Using our previous work as a starting point, 13a our studies began with alkenyl cyclopropyl ketone 4a as the model substrate. Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O was selected as the Lewis acid to initiate the reaction optimization because of its demonstrated success in amine-mediated cyclopropane ring-openings. 14,15 Upon treatment of cyclopropane 4a and benzyl amine (2.5 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ with Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (30 mol %) at room temperature, dihydropyrrole 5a was obtained in 89% yield after 3 h (Table 1, entry 1). Next, the amount of amine was reduced to improve atom economy and overall reaction efficiency. At both 2.0 and 1.2 equiv of benzylamine, decreased product yields were observed (entries 2 and 3). Upon lowering the catalyst loading to 20 mol %, dihydropyrrole 5a was obtained in 67% yield after 6 h (entry 4). At 15 mol % catalyst, the reaction gave a 50% yield, although it failed to go to completion even after more than 16 h (entry 5). To push the reaction to completion, the reaction was heated at reflux. Within 1 h, the reaction was complete. When the reaction with 15 mol % Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O was set up in CH₂Cl₂ at reflux from the beginning, the reaction was completed within 1 h to give 5a in 65% yield (entry 6). When the amount of amine was reduced to 2.0 and 1.2 equiv while employing the refluxing conditions, yields of 67 and 80% were obtained within 1 to 2 h (entries 7 and 8). Any attempts to reduce the catalyst loading below 15 mol % failed to provide good product yields (entries 9 and 10). Similarly, changing the solvent has a deleterious effect on overall reaction efficiency and product yields. 17 Thus, the optimum conditions were 15 mol %of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O with 1.2 equiv of benzylamine in refluxing CH₂Cl₂ or 1,2-dichloroethane.

Unfortunately, variable amounts of the unexpected side product $\mathbf{5w}$ were observed in all of the reactions at reflux, which accounted for the reduced yields (entries 6-10). As $\mathbf{5a}$ is an extended Michael acceptor, it is plausible that a molecule of

water can undergo a 1,6-addition¹⁸ into the extended π -system (Scheme 1). Proton transfer and isomerization generates

Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism for Formation of Dihydropyrrole 5w

alcohol II, which undergoes loss of formaldehyde and protonation to give side product $\mathbf{5w}$. To alleviate this side product issue, the model substrate was changed to cyclopropyl phenyl ketone $\mathbf{4b}$. At 30 mol % of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O with 2.5 equiv of benzylamine, dihydropyrrole $\mathbf{5b}$ was obtained in 77% yield (Table 1, entry 11). Further experimentation determined the optimal conditions to be 15 mol % of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O and 1.2 equiv of benzylamine in CH₂Cl₂ at reflux. This gave desired dihydropyrrole $\mathbf{5b}$ in 83% yield after 2 h (entry 14).

Next, a variety of Lewis acids were screened to find the optimal Lewis acid catalyst (Table 2). Anhydrous Ni(OTf)₂ was employed to probe the importance of the water ligands. A reduced yield of 58% was obtained (entry 2). This result supports the catalytic role of the nickel but also suggests the importance of the water for amine exchange. As with Ni(II) hydrates, copper(II) hydrates are known to complex with amines by displacing water molecules.²⁰ However, when Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O was used as the catalyst, a meager 31% yield was obtained (entry 3). To rule out the possibility that the

Table 2. Lewis Acid Screening^a

entry	Lewis acid	time (h)	% yield ^b
1	Ni(ClO ₄) ₂ ·6H ₂ O	2	83
2	$Ni(OTf)_2$	3	58
3	$Cu(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	3	31
4	Li(ClO ₄)·3H ₂ O	>16	14
5	$Sc(OTf)_3$	>16	27
6	$In(OTf)_3$	>16	17
7	$Al(OTf)_3$	>16	8
8	$Mg(OTf)_2$	>16	6
9	$Zn(OTf)_2$	>16	5
_			

^aReactions run with cyclopropane (1 equiv), benzylamine (1.2 equiv), and Lewis acid (15 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ under reflux. ^bIsolated yields after column chromatography.

ligand is responsible for the observed catalysis, $Li(ClO_4)\cdot 3H_2O$ was employed but only gave 14% yield of ${\bf 5b}$ (entry 4). All other catalyst systems (Sc^{3+} , In^{3+} , Al^{3+} , Mg^{2+} , and Zn^{2+}) proved to be highly ineffective, most likely to catalyst deactivation upon amine complexation (entries 5–9). Thus, $Ni(ClO_4)_2\cdot 6H_2O$ remained the most effective Lewis acid catalyst for this transformation. ²¹

To explore reaction generality, other primary amines were employed under the optimized conditions with cyclopropane **4b** (Table 3). Alkyl amines such as ethylamine and isopropyl-

amine readily reacted with 4b to give dihydropyrroles 5c and 5d in 63 and 81%, respectively.²² However, no reaction to give **5e** was observed with *tert*-butyl amine, which is presumably the result of unfavorable steric interactions that preclude nucleophilic attack. Other functionalized aliphatic amines such as 2-methoxyethan-1-amine and 3-(triethoxysilyl)propan-1-amine also provided their respective dihydropyrroles, 5f and 5g, in 83 and 42% yields. Similarly, unprotected tryptamine provided 5h in 84% yield. Allylamine provided dihydropyrrole 5i in 96% yield, whereas propargylamine afforded only 30% of 5j along with a number of byproducts. The poor reaction efficiency is most likely because of competing reactions resulting from coordination of the alkyne π -system with the Ni catalyst.²³ This coordination can influence the electrophilicity of the alkyne π -system as well as reduce the p K_a of the alkynyl hydrogen. Aniline proved to be amenable to the transformation, although the reaction had to be performed at higher temperatures for full conversion to give N-aryl dihydropyrrole 5k in 74% yield. Amines bearing strong electron-withdrawing groups (e.g., acetamide and tosamide) failed to give any measurable dihydropyrrole products even at elevated temperatures because of reduced nucleophilicity.

A chiral amine was also employed in hopes of imparting some diastereocontrol. Disappointingly, when **4b** was treated with (S)-1-phenylethan-1-amine, dihydropyrrole **5n** was obtained as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture in 90% yield. The poor observed selectivity is most likely due to an S_N 1-like ring-opening that generates a transient carbocation prior to unbiased nucleophilic attack.

Table 3. Primary Amine Screening a,b

[&]quot;Reactions run with cyclopropane (1 equiv), amine (1.2 equiv), and Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (15 mol %) in 1,2-dichloroethane at reflux. ^bNumbers in parentheses represent isolated yields after column chromatography. ^cReaction run in CH₂Cl₂ under reflux. ^dReaction run in toluene under reflux.

Table 4. Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropane Screening a,b

"Reactions run with cyclopropane (1 equiv), benzylamine (1.2 equiv), and Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (15 mol %) in CH₂Cl₂ at reflux for 2 h. ^bNumbers in parentheses represent isolated yields after column chromatography. ^cReactions performed in toluene under reflux. ^dNo desired products observed.

Scheme 2. One-Pot Tandem Cyclopropanation/Amine Ring-Opening Cyclization

The methodology was also applied to the reactions of different D-A cyclopropanes with benzylamine. (Table 4). In the previous reactions, only D-A cyclopropanes bearing a 4methoxyphenyl substituent as the donor group were explored to help facilitate formation of the dihydropyrroles. When a phenyl substituent was employed instead, dihydropyrrole 50 was obtained in 85% yield. Similar results were observed for 4p, where 4-fluorophenyl was the donor and 5p was generated in 88% yield. When the aromatic substituent bears a strong electron-withdrawing group, the reaction efficiency is reduced, and a low yield of dihydropyrrole 5q is attained. When a geminal methyl and phenyl group are the donors, the 2,2disubstituted dihydropyrrole 5r is observed in 79% yield. D-A cyclopropanes bearing a single alkyl donor do not provide the desired dihydropyrrole products. Cyclopropane 4t, with a methylene silyl donor substituent, proved incompatible with the reaction conditions because other major products were observed. Cyclopropane 4u (derived from indene) reacted to give 37% of **5u** in toluene at reflux. No reaction was observed at reduced temperatures. A similar result (44%) was achieved for 5v, which also contains substituents in both the 2- and 3positions. Both outcomes appear to be the result of steric

effects associated with the amine approaching the sterically congested cyclopropanes.

Cyclopropanes derived from other β -ketoesters were also subjected to the reaction conditions. Dihydropyrrole 5w was successfully prepared in 85% yield from the corresponding cyclopropyl ethyl ketone. Similarly, the cyclopropyl 2-thienyl ketone afforded desired product 5x in 83% yield. Alternatively, dimethyl malonate-derived cylopropane 4y gave pyrrolidin-2-one 5y in 63% yield upon workup/purification. This result is consistent with observations made by Yamagata.

1,3-Diketones have not previously been studied in the amine ring-opening cyclizations. To examine their compatibility, cyclopropanes $4\mathbf{z}$ (from a symmetric 1,3-diketone) and $4\mathbf{aa}$ (from an unsymmetric 1,3-diketone) were synthesized and treated with benzylamine under the reaction conditions. Cyclopropane $4\mathbf{z}$ provided its product $5\mathbf{z}$ in 78% yield. In the case of $4\mathbf{aa}$ (from the unsymmetric 1,3-diketone), regioisomers are possible. Fortunately, the only product observed is the one that has the phenyl ring in conjugation with the enone π -system ($5\mathbf{aa}$).

Given that both the syntheses of the D–A cyclopropanes (via Rh-catalyzed cyclopropanation of alkenes with α -diazo carbonyls) and the dihydropyrroles take place in CH_2Cl_2 , a

tandem one-pot cyclopropanation/amine ring-opening cyclization was explored (Scheme 2). In a representative example, methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate $\bf 6b$ was first reacted with 4-vinylanisole in the presence of 0.1 mol % Rh₂esp₂ to form cyclopropane $\bf 4b$. After 30 min, benzylamine (1.2 equiv) and Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (15 mol %) were added simultaneously, and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux.²⁴ Desired dihydropyrrole $\bf 5b$ was obtained in 68% yield, which corresponds to an average of ~82% yield per step.

The 2,3-dihydropyrrole products could be smoothly converted to the corresponding pyrroles in the presence of 1,2-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ). 12c,25 For instance, when dihydropyrroles **5n** and **5w** were subjected to DDQ in toluene at reflux, pyrroles **7n** and **7w** were formed in 65 and 62% yields, respectively (Scheme 3). Thus, highly substituted pyrroles are readily accessible using the methodology.

Scheme 3. DDQ-Mediated Conversion of Dihydropyrroles to Pyrroles

In summary, we have developed an efficient and general Ni(II)-catalyzed approach to 4-keto- and 4-carboxy-2,3-dihydropyrroles using activated D–A cyclopropanes under milder conditions than previously reported. The method is amenable to a variety of primary amine nucleophiles as well as substituted D–A cyclopropanes to provide highly substituted dihydropyrroles. Furthermore, the dihydropyrrole products can readily undergo derivatization to serve as building blocks for chemical synthesis. Future work will examine the vinylogous reactivity of these substrates for the efficient synthesis of complex, bioactive molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Chromatographic purification was performed as flash chromatography with Dynamic Adsorbents silica gel (32-65 μ m) and solvents indicated as eluent with 0.1-0.5 bar pressure. For quantitative flash chromatography, technical grades solvents were utilized. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on EMD silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ TLC glass plates. Visualization was accomplished with UV light. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a FTIR with an ATR attachment and by attenuated total reflection (ATR) through a diamond plate. The IR bands are characterized as weak (w), medium (m), and strong (s). Proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H and ¹³C NMR) were recorded on a 300 or 500 MHz spectrometer with solvent resonances as the internal standard (1H NMR: CDCl3 at 7.26 ppm; ¹³C NMR: CDCl₃ at 77.0 ppm). ¹H NMR data are reported as follows: chemical shift (ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, ddd = doublet of doublet of doublets, t = triplet, m = multiplet, and br = broad), coupling constants (Hz), and integration. The accurate mass analyses were run in EI mode using a double-focusing magnetic analyzer at a mass

resolution of 10 000 using PFK (perfluorokerosene) as an internal calibrant. Uncorrected melting points were measured with a digital melting-point apparatus.

Synthesis of Cyclopropanes 4. Cyclopropanes 4a, ^{13a} 4x, ^{13b} 4y, ²⁶ 4z, ²⁷ and 4aa²⁸ were prepared according to previously reported methods. The remaining cyclopropanes were synthesized according to one of the two following methods.

General Method A. The corresponding alkene (1.0 equiv) was added to a solution Rh_2esp_2 (0.1 mol %) in DCM at 0 °C. After stirring for 5 min, a solution of the α-diazo ester (1.0 equiv) was added in one shot and allowed to stir for 10 min at 0 °C. The ice bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 1 h the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous thiourea and allowed to stir for 30 min. The organic layer was collected, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM three times. Then, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried with Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated, and column chromatography afforded the desired products.

General Method B. A solution of Grignard reagent (1.5 equiv) was added slowly to a stirred solution of Weinreb amide in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. The solution was stirred for 5 min at this temperature and then allowed to warm gradually to room temperature. The reaction was monitored by TLC. The solution was then quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, extracted with Et₂O (three times), washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4b). According to general method B, a solution of phenyllithium (6.89 mL, 12.4 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of methyl 1-(methoxy(methyl)carbamoyl)-2-(4methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (2.80 g, 9.55 mmol) in THF (35 mL) at 0 °C to afford 4b (1.68 g, 57% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.42$) as a yellow solid (mp 79–80 °C). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₂): δ 7.95-7.89 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.49 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 1H), 6.85-6.80 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.52 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.40 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (dd, J = 4.8, 9.1 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.2, 168.7, 158.5, 136.9, 132.7, 129.8, 128.3, 127.9, 126.4, 113.2, 54.8, 51.9, 42.0, 30.0, 20.0. IR: 2951 (s), 2836 (s), 1731 (s), 1674 (s), 1611 (s), 1597 (s), 1580 (s), 1515 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₄, 310.1205; found, 310,1202.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (40). According to general method B, a solution of phenyllithium (1.12 mL, 2.02 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of methyl 1-(methoxy(methyl)carbamoyl)-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (408 mg, 1.55 mmol) in THF (35 mL) at 0 °C to afford 40 (95.8 mg, 22% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.64$) as a yellow solid (mp 91–92 °C). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95–7.90 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 3H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 2H), 3.57 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 2.45 (dd, J = 4.8, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (dd, J = 4.9, 9.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.4, 168.8, 136.9, 134.7, 132.9, 129.0, 128.5, 128.1, 127.2, 52.1, 42.2, 30.6, 20.0. IR: 3058 (s), 3002 (s), 2952 (s), 1728 (m), 1677 (w), 1596 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}O_{3}$, 280.1099; found, 280.1104.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4p). According to general method B, a solution of phenyllithium (1.13 mL, 2.04 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of methyl 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(methoxy(methyl)-carbamoyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (478 mg, 1.70 mmol) in THF (35 mL) at 0 °C to afford 4p (100 mg, 20% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.54) as a pale yellow solid (mp 93–94 °C). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.94–7.89 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.02–6.94 (m, 2H), 3.53 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.41 (dd, J = 5.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (dd, J = 4.9, 9.1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.1, 168.7, 163.5, 160.3, 136.8, 132.9, 130.5, 128.5, 128.1, 114.9, 52.1, 42.1, 29.7, 20.0. IR: 3003 (s), 2952 (s), 1725 (m), 1684 (m), 1597 (s), 1580 (s), 1510 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for $C_{18}H_{15}FO_{3}$, 298.1005; found, 298.1011.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-(4-nitrophenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4q). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (1.90 mg, 2.45 μmol) in DCM was added 4-nitrostyrene (0.31 mL, 2.45 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (500 mg, 2.45 mmol). The reaction was quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4q (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.54) as a white solid (mp 121–122 °C) (47 mg, 6.0% yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.16 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.43 (m, 4H), 3.60 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.49 (dd, J = 5.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (dd, J = 5.1, 9.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 193.3, 168.4, 147.1, 142.7, 136.3, 133.3, 129.9, 128.8, 128.2, 123.3, 52.5, 42.6, 29.7, 19.9. IR: 2952 (s), 2848 (s), 1733 (m), 1676 (m), 1596 (s), 1515 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₅NO₅, 325.0950; found, 325.0951.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-methyl-2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4r). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (2.60 mg, 3.43 μmol) in DCM was added α-methylstyrene (0.45 mL, 3.43 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (700 mg, 3.43 mmol). The reaction was quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4r (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.57$) as a white solid (mp 86–87 °C) (595 mg, 59% yield). Diastereomeric ratio: 10:1. Major diastereomer: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.12–8.06 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.38 (m, 5H), 7.35–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.34 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 193.4, 168.9, 141.2, 136.8, 132.9, 132.2, 128.8, 127.9, 126.7, 51.8, 42.9, 37.6, 25.1, 24.9. IR: 3060 (s), 2997 (s), 2949 (s), 2923 (s), 1724 (m), 1681 (w), 1595 (s), 1578 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}O_3$, 294.1256; found, 294.1253.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-butylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4s). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (0.90 mg, 1.22 µmol) in DCM was added n-hexene (0.15 mL, 1.22 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (250 mg, 1.22 mmol). The reaction was quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4s (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.72$) as a colorless liquid (124 mg, 39% yield). Diastereomeric ratio: 3:1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.91–7.81 (m, 3.00), 7.57–7.49 (m, 1.37), 7.47-7.37 (m, 3.09), 3.53 (s, 0.83), 3.51 (s, 2.43), 2.23-2.11 (m, 1.22), 1.67 (dd, J = 4.4, 7.7 Hz, 1.10), 1.60-1.48 (m, 2.83), 1.43-1.29 (m, 5.70), 0.92–0.86 (m, 2.76), 0.84–0.76 (m, 0.91). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.9, 170.6, 137.3, 137.0, 132.7, 132.5, 130.1, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 127.3, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 52.1, 52.1, 52.0, 38.6, 37.5, 31.1, 29.4, 27.9, 27.0, 26.7, 22.1, 22.1, 22.0, 20.4, 13.8, 13.7. IR: 2955 (s), 2929 (s), 2861 (s), 1730 (m), 1678 (w), 1598 (s), 1581 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₃, 260.1412; found, 260.1413.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-((trimethylsilyl)methyl)cyclopropane-1carboxylate (4t). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (1.90 mg, 2.45 μ mol) in DCM was added allyltrimethylsilane (0.39 mL, 2.45 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (500 mg, 2.45 mmol). The reaction was quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4t (25% EtOAc/ hexane, $R_f = 0.72$) as a colorless oil (431 mg, 61% yield). Diastereomeric ratio: 3:1. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.89-7.83 (m, 3.00), 7.55-7.50 (m, 1.30), 7.48-7.40 (m, 2.99), 3.53 (s, 1.13), 3.52 (s, 2.94), 2.23–2.16 (m, 1.06), 1.61 (dd, J = 4.3, 7.9 Hz, 1.04), 1.56 (dd, J = 4.3, 7.6 Hz, 0.38), 1.50 (dd, J = 4.5, 9.1 Hz, 0.38), 1.45 (dd, J = 4.4, 9.0 Hz, 1.02), 0.89 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.4 Hz, 1.01), 0.80 (dd, J = 2.7, 14.2 Hz, 0.39), 0.67 (dd, J = 10.7, 14.5 Hz, 1.00), 0.09 (s, 1.00)0.90), 0.07 (s, 8.85), 0.03 (s, 3.30). 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 195.0, 170.7, 172.0, 137.5, 132.8, 132.6, 130.2, 129.2, 128.5, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.6, 127.5, 77.3, 77.0, 76.8, 52.2, 52.1, 39.5, 38.1, 26.9, 24.5, 24.4, 23.8, 21.7, 15.6, 14.3. IR: 2953 (s), 2898 (s), 1727 (m), 1676 (w), 1597 (s), 1581 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₃Si, 290.1338; found, 290.1333.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-1,1a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopropa[a]-indene-1-carboxylate (4u). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (1.90 mg, 2.45 μ mol) in DCM was added indene (285 mg, 2.45 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (500 mg, 2.45 mmol). The reaction was

quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4u (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f=0.58$) as a white solid (mp 96–97 °C) (323 mg, 45% yield). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.96–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 3H), 7.25–7.17 (m, 3H), 3.77–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 1H), 3.41–3.31 (m, 1H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 2.70 (dt, J=0.9, 6.6 Hz, 1H). $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.7, 167.8, 144.0, 138.7, 137.4, 132.5, 128.3, 127.8, 126.9, 126.3, 124.9, 124.0, 51.6, 44.0, 39.2, 33.4. IR: 3056 (s), 2946 (s), 1728 (m), 1669 (w), 1596 (s), 1579 (s) cm $^{-1}$. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M] $^+$ calcd for $\rm C_{19}H_{16}O_3$, 292.1099, Obs. 292.1105.

Methyl 1-Benzoyl-2-methyl-3-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4v). According to general method A, to a solution of Rh₂esp₂ (1.90 mg, 2.45 μmol) in DCM was added β-methylstyrene (0.32 mL, 2.45 mmol) followed by a solution of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-3-phenylpropanoate (500 mg, 2.45 mmol). The reaction was quenched, and column chromatography afforded 4v (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.68$) as a colorless oil (143 mg, 20% yield). Diastereomeric ratio: 11:1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.00–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.23 (m, 8H), 3.50 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 2.11–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.63 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 194.6, 169.1, 136.8, 133.8, 132.6, 130.1, 129.7, 128.1, 127.3, 126.8, 51.6, 42.3, 33.5, 28.2, 9.7. IR: 3027 (s), 2949 (s), 1737 (s), 1677 (s), 1597 (s), 1580 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}O_{3}$, 294.1256; found, 294.1247.

Methyl 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-propionylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate (4w). According to general method B, a solution of ethyl-magnesium bromide (6.20 mL, 6.14 mmol) was added slowly to a stirred solution of methyl 1-(methoxy(methyl)carbamoyl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (1.20 g, 4.09 mmol) in THF (35 mL) at 0 °C to afford 4w (300 mg, 28% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.58) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.11–7.05 (m, 2H), 6.82–6.74 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.21 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.06–2.92 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.16 (dd, J = 4.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (dd, J = 4.6, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 204.9, 168.8, 158.7, 129.7, 126.8, 113.4, 55.1, 51.9, 44.2, 35.0, 34.6, 21.5, 8.0. IR: 2938 (s), 2837 (s), 1704 (m), 1612 (s), 1582 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₄, 262.1205; found, 262.1204.

Reaction Optimization Procedures. Procedure for Catalyst and Amine Loading at Varying Temperatures. To a flask containing the nickel catalyst with the appropriate loading (5, 15, 20, or 30 mol %) and benzylamine with the appropriate loading (120, 200, or 250 mol %) in anhydrous DCM was added either cyclopropane 4a or 4b, and the reaction was allowed to go at room temperature or under reflux. The reaction was monitored via TLC, and upon complete disappearance of the starting material, the reaction was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. Column chromatography provided pure desired product 5a or 5b.

Procedure for Catalyst Screening. To a flask containing the appropriate catalyst (15 mol %) and benzylamine (120 mol %) in anhydrous DCM was added cyclopropane 4b, and the reaction was allowed to go under reflux. The reaction was monitored via TLC, and upon complete disappearance of the starting material, the reaction was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. Column chromatrography provided pure product 5b.

Procedure for Solvent Screening. To a flask containing the nickel catalyst (15 mol %) and benzylamine (200 mol %) in the appropriate solvent (THF, Et₂O, toluene, DCE, acetone, or MeCN) was added cyclopropane 4a, and the reaction was allowed to go under reflux. The reaction was monitored via TLC, and upon complete disappearance of the starting material, the reaction was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. Column chromatography provided pure product 5a.

Synthesis of Dihydropyrroles. General Procedure. The corresponding cyclopropane (1.0 equiv) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (15 mol %) and amine (1.2 equiv) in DCM (4–5 mL) or DCE (4–5 mL) or toluene (4–5 mL) at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to go under reflux. After 2 h, the reaction was dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The organic filtrate

was concentrated using a rotary evaporator, and column chromatography provided the pure desired products.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ($\mathbf{5a}$). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4a (75 mg, 0.273 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (15.0 mg, 0.041 mmol) and benzylamine (0.04 mL, 0.328 mmol) in DCM (4 mL) to afford $\mathbf{5a}$ (79.1 mg, 80% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.40$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.15–7.05 (m, 4H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.12 (s, 1H), 4.61–4.49 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.74 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.30 (dd, J = 12.5, 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 7.1, 15.1 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.3, 163.7, 159.1, 137.3, 134.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.3, 117.2, 114.1, 104.9, 93.5, 63.1, 55.2, 50.1, 47.6, 37.5, 22.3. IR: 2946 (s), 1670 (s), 1611 (s), 1567 (s), 1511 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{23}H_{25}NO_3$, 363.1834; found, 363.1831.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (**5b**). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane **4b** (50 mg, 0.161 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (8.80 mg, 0.0241 mmol) and benzylamine (0.02 mL, 0.193 mmol) in DCM (4 mL) to afford **5b** (53.6 mg, 83% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.44) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.43 (m, 5H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.03–6.99 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.63 (dd, J = 8.1, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.69 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 3H), 3.41 (dd, J = 12.3, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, J = 8.1, 15.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.5, 161.8, 159.1, 137.0, 134.6, 132.0, 128.9, 128.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.3, 114.1, 96.3, 63.1, 55.2, 50.1, 48.3, 38.2. IR: 2946 (s), 2836 (s), 1662 (s), 1610 (s), 1575 (s), 1511 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for C₂₆H₂₅NO₃, 399.1834; found, 399.1832.

Methyl 1-Ethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5c). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (81 mg, 0.261 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (14.3 mg, 0.0391 mmol) and ethylamine (0.16 mL, 0.313 mmol) in DCE (4 mL) to afford 5c (55.8 mg, 63% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.42) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48–7.30 (m, 7H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (dd, J = 9.1, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.39 (dd, J = 12.1, 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (qd, J = 7.2, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, J = 9.4, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (qd, J = 7.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 0.81 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 162.3, 159.1, 135.0, 132.5, 128.6, 128.0, 114.0, 96.3, 64.2, 55.2, 50.0, 39.7, 38.5, 12.7. IR: 2947 (s), 1677 (s), 1612 (s), 1572 (s), 1511 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₁H₂₃NO₃, 337.1678; found, 337.1668.

Methyl 1-Isopropyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ($\mathbf{5d}$). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane $\mathbf{4b}$ (100 mg, 0.322 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (17.7 mg, 0.0484 mmol) and isopropylamine (0.03 mL, 0.387 mmol) in DCE (5 mL) to afford $\mathbf{5d}$ (91.7 mg, 81% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.38$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48–7.39 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (dd, J = 6.3, 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.54–3.43 (m, 5H), 2.71 (dd, J = 6.3, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.70 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 162.4, 158.6, 138.7, 132.9, 128.8, 128.4, 128.0, 127.1, 113.9, 96.4, 59.7, 55.2, 50.0, 48.2, 40.0, 22.4, 19.7. IR: 2971 (s), 1678 (s), 1612 (s), 1583 (s), 1510 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₅NO₃, 351.1834; found, 351.1832.

Methyl 1-(2-Methoxyethyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5f). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (75 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.3 mg, 0.0363 mmol) and 2-methoxyethan-1-amine (0.03 mL, 0.290 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5f (74.1 mg, 83% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.21$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.48–7.31 (m, 7H), 6.95–6.89 (m, 2H), 4.88 (dd, J = 8.4, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.42

(dd, J = 11.9, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 2H), 3.11–3.01 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.80 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 162.2, 159.1, 135.1, 132.2, 128.7, 128.0, 114.0, 96.3, 70.3, 65.3, 58.6, 55.2, 50.0, 44.4, 38.5. IR: 2949 (s), 1681 (s), 1611 (s), 1575 (s), 1512 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{22}H_{25}NO_4$, 367.1627; found, 367.1624.

Methyl 5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1-(3-(triethoxysilyl)propyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5g). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (75 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.3 mg, 0.0363 mmol) and 3-(triethoxysilyl)propan-1-amine (0.05 mL, 0.290 mmol) in DCE (5 mL) to afford 5g (53.2 mg, 42% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.38$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.45–7.28 (m, 8H), 6.94–6.87 (m, 2H), 4.76 (dd, J = 8.4, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.69 (q, J = 7.0Hz, 6H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.39 (dd, *J* = 12.1, 15.1 Hz, 1H), 2.87–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.69–2.59 (m, 1H), 1.15 (t, I = 7.0 Hz, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.5, 162.4, 159.1, 135.1, 132.5, 128.7, 128.0, 128.0, 128.0, 114.1, 95.8, 64.5, 58.3, 55.3, 50.1, 47.8, 38.5, 20.9, 18.2, 7.4. IR: 2972 (s), 2926 (s), 1682 (s), 1611 (s), 1573 (s), 1511 (s) cm⁻¹ HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{28}H_{39}NO_6Si$, 513.2359; found, 513.2379.

Methyl 1-(2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl)-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5h). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (100 mg, 0.322 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (17.7 mg, 0.0484 mmol) and tryptamine (62.0 mg, 0.387 mmol) in DCE (5 mL) to afford 5h (122 mg, 84% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.083$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.94 (br s, 1H), 7.42–7.24 (m, 7H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.96-6.89 (m, 3H), 6.86-6.81 (m, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (dd, J = 8.7, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.41 (dd, J = 12.1, 15.0 Hz, 1H), 3.27-3.16 (m, 1H), 2.99-2.74 (m, 3H),2.62-2.51 (m, 1H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.6, 162.3, 159.2, 136.0, 135.0, 132.3, 128.7, 128.1, 128.1, 128.1, 127.0, 121.9, 119.1, 118.4, 118.4, 114.1, 112.6, 111.0, 96.0, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 64.9, 55.3, 50.1, 45.8, 38.5, 23.9. IR: 3273 (s), 2918 (s), 2851 (s), 1658 (m), 1622 (m), 1608 (s), 1567 (s), 1540 (m), 1533 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]+ calcd for C₂₉H₂₈N₂O₃, 452.2100; found, 452.2104.

Methyl 1-Allyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1Hpyrrole-3-carboxylate (5i). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (100 mg, 0.322 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (17.7 mg, 0.0484 mmol) and allylamine (0.03 mL, 0.387 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5i (109 mg, 96% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.38$) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.47–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.57–5.43 (m, 1H), 5.09 (dd, J = 1.0, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (dd, J = 1.6, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (dd, J = 9.1, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.60-3.51 (m, 1H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 3.41 (dd, J = 12.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (dd, J = 7.5, 16.0 Hz, 2H), 2.87 (dd, J = 9.2, 15.2 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 166.3, 161.9, 159.1, 134.5, 133.1, 132.1, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 117.7, 114.0, 96.8, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 64.0, 55.1, 50.1, 47.5, 38.3. IR: 2944 (s), 2836 (s), 1663 (m), 1611 (m), 1582 (s), 1510 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₃NO₃, 349.1678; found, 349.1682.

Methyl 5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5j). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (100 mg, 0.322 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (17.7 mg, 0.0484 mmol) and propargylamine (0.02 mL, 0.387 mmol) in DCE (5 mL) to afford 5i (34.1 mg, 30% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.42$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.49–7.35 (m, 7H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.60 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.9 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 3.34 (dd, J = 11.3, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, J = 2.4, 17.9 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 11.6, 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.1, 161.0, 159.3, 133.2, 131.9, 128.9, 128.6, 128.1, 114.1, 101.4, 78.3, 72.7, 64.8, 55.3, 50.4, 38.3, 35.7. IR: 3293 (s), 2948 (s), 2836 (s), 1729 (m), 1674 (m), 1612 (m), 1587 (s), 1512 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{22}H_{21}NO_3$, 347.1521; found, 347.1531.

Methyl 5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,2-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5k). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (75 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.3 mg, 0.0363 mmol) and aniline (0.03 mL, 0.290 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) to afford 5k (69.1 mg, 74% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.38) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.46–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.00–6.81 (m, 5H), 6.62–6.57 (m, 2H), 5.07 (dd, J = 6.2, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.65 (dd, J = 11.4, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 2.93 (dd, J = 6.2, 15.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.3, 158.9, 158.0, 142.8, 136.0, 131.7, 129.7, 128.9, 128.2, 127.5, 123.6, 114.1, 101.1, 68.5, 55.2, 50.4, 39.6. IR: 2947 (s), 1686 (s), 1611 (s), 1588 (s), 1571 (s), 1511 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₂₃NO₃, 385.1678; found, 385.1680.

Methyl 5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1-((S)-1-phenylethyl)-4,5dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5n). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4b (75 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.3 mg, 0.0363 mmol) and (S)-1-phenylethan-1-amine (0.04 mL, 0.298 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5n (90.3 mg, 90% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.38$) as a colorless oil. Diastereomeric ratio: 1:1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55–7.42 (m, 9.00), 7.38-7.28 (m, 2.52), 7.28-7.22 (m, 3.20), 7.14-7.09 (m, 1.95), 7.01–6.95 (m, 3.94), 6.93–6.87 (m, 3.49), 6.61–6.55 (m, 1.54), 4.70-4.49 (m, 2.52), 4.40 (dd, J = 6.1, 12.2 Hz, 0.91), 3.83 (s, 3.01), 3.73 (s, 2.48), 3.51-3.41 (m, 6.66), 3.32 (dd, I = 12.2, 15.3 Hz, 1.04), 2.77-2.62 (m, 1.58), 1.39 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2.45), 1.08 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2.88). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$): δ 166.6, 166.5, 162.5, 162.1, 158.9, 158.2, 141.3, 140.2, 138.5, 137.2, 133.0, 132.6, 129.2, 129.0, 128.5, 128.3, 128.3, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.0, 126.8, 126.2, 114.1, 113.4, 113.3, 98.7, 96.2, 77.6, 77.1, 76.7, 61.3, 60.7, 55.3, 55.3, 54.8, 54.6, 50.3, 50.2, 39.9, 19.4, 16.2. IR: 2943 (s), 1683 (m), 1611 (s), 1583 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{27}H_{27}NO_{3}$, 413.1991; found, 413.1995.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-2,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (*50*). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane **4o** (75 mg, 0.242 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (14.7 mg, 0.0402 mmol) and benzylamine (0.04 mL, 0.321 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) to afford **5o** (84.2 mg, 85% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.48) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.51–7.25 (m, 13H), 7.01 (dd, J = 1.8, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 4.67 (dd, J = 8.0, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 3H), 3.45 (dd, J = 12.3, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, J = 8.0, 15.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 161.9, 142.5, 136.9, 131.9, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.3, 126.8, 125.8, 96.4, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 63.6, 50.1, 48.4, 38.2. IR: 3029 (s), 2946 (s), 1663 (s), 1613 (s), 1579 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₂₃NO₂, 369.1729; found, 369.1722.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5**p**). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4**p** (70 mg, 0.235 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (12.9 mg, 0.0352 mmol) and benzylamine (0.03 mL, 0.282 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5**p** (79.8 mg, 88% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.39) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.49–7.43 (m, SH), 7.32–7.23 (m, SH), 7.07 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.00–6.95 (m, 2H), 4.62 (dd, J = 8.1, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 3.41 (dd, J = 12.3, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 8.1, 15.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 163.9, 161.8, 160.6, 138.3, 136.8, 131.8, 129.1, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 127.4, 115.8, 115.5, 96.6, 63.0, 50.2, 48.5, 38.4. IR: 2948 (s), 1682 (s), 1610 (s), 1582 (s), 1508 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{25}H_{22}FNO_2$, 387.1635; found, 387.1626.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5q). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4q (45 mg, 0.138 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (6.1 mg, 0.0166 mmol) and benzylamine (0.01 mL, 0.133 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) to afford 5q (14.1 mg, 31% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane,

 $R_f=0.32)$ as a yellow oil. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.24 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.45 (m, 7H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 3H), 6.98–6.93 (m, 2H), 4.72 (dd, J=8.1, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, J=15.4 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (s, 3H), 3.48–3.41 (m, 1H), 2.81 (dd, J=8.1, 15.4 Hz, 1H), $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 166.0, 161.8, 150.0, 147.5, 136.3, 131.4, 129.4, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 127.7, 127.7, 124.2, 97.2, 63.1, 50.4, 49.3, 38.5. IR: 3028 (s), 2923 (s), 2853 (s), 1664 (s), 1584 (s), 1518 (m) cm $^{-1}$. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M] $^+$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}_4$, 414.1580; found, 414.1576.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-methyl-2,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ($\bf 5r$). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4r (75 mg, 0.255 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂· 6H₂O (14.0 mg, 0.0382 mmol) and benzylamine (0.03 mL, 0.306 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford $\bf 5r$ (77.3 mg, 79% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.44) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.54–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.28 (m, 8H), 7.19–7.12 (m, 3H), 6.97–6.90 (m, 2H), 4.23 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 16.7 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.22 (q, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.5, 161.6, 145.7, 139.5, 132.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 128.0, 127.3, 126.9, 126.6, 125.6, 95.4, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 69.4, 50.1, 47.2, 47.0, 25.8. IR: 3028 (s), 2945 (s), 1732 (s), 1661 (s), 1614 (m), 1580 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₂₅NO₂, 383.1885; found, 383.1877.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-2-phenyl-1,3a,4,8b-tetrahydroindeno[1,2-b]pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5u). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4u (75 mg, 0.257 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (14.1 mg, 0.0385 mmol) and benzylamine (0.03 mL, 0.308 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) to afford 5u (26.9 mg, 37% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.20) as a colorless oil. Diastereomeric ratio: 1:1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.05–7.95 (m, 5.25), 7.63–7.55 (m, 2.44), 7.51–7.42 (m, 5.91), 7.41-7.14 (m, 26.79), 4.50 (dd, J = 8.8, 18.1 Hz, 2.00), 4.29 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 0.99, 4.10 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 0.90), 3.97–3.76 (m, 4.81), 3.66 (s, 3.42), 3.61 (s, 3.50), 3.43-3.23 (m, 5.30), 2.80 (dd, <math>I = 5.2, 15.2Hz, 1.12), 2.56–2.44 (m, 1.35). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.6, 143.7, 142.0, 141.8, 140.8, 136.8, 133.5, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 126.7, 125.0, 124.4, 66.6, 66.4, 57.4, 56.5, 52.4, 50.2, 45.6, 44.9, 35.4, 34.3. IR: 2950 (s), 1734 (s), 1682 (s), 1568 (s), 1512 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/ z: [M]+ calcd for C₂₆H₂₃NO₂, 381.1729; found, 381.1714.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-4-methyl-2,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5v). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4v (71 mg, 0.241 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.2 mg, 0.0362 mmol) and benzylamine (0.03 mL, 0.290 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) to afford 5v (40.3 mg, 44% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.51) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.52–7.23 (m, 13H), 7.03–6.98 (m, 2H), 4.33 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 3.18–3.08 (m, 1H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.7, 161.4, 142.1, 137.1, 132.1, 129.0, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 126.5, 102.2, 71.7, 50.0, 48.4, 45.6, 21.8. IR: 3029 (s), 2949 (s), 1731 (s), 1665 (s), 1570 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₂₅NO₂, 383.1885; found, 383.1888.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-2-ethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (5w). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4w (44 mg, 0.168 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (9.20 mg, 0.0252 mmol) and benzylamine (0.02 mL, 0.202 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5w (49.1 mg, 85% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.48$) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.13–7.04 (m, 4H), 6.86 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.55–4.45 (m, 2H), 3.88–3.80 (m, 4H), 3.67 (s, 1H), 3.30–3.16 (m, 2H), 2.70 (dd, J = 7.6, 14.9 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.45 (m, 1H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 167.2, 165.9, 159.1, 137.2, 134.7, 128.6, 128.1, 127.3, 127.0, 114.0, 92.8, 63.6, 55.2, 50.0, 49.7, 47.0, 37.4, 19.1, 12.7. IR: 2936 (s), 1699 (s), 1669 (s), 1610 (s), 1577 (s), 1511 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₅NO₃, 351.1834; found, 351.1832.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(thiophen-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3 carboxylate (5x). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4x (80 mg, 0.253 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (13.9 mg, 0.0379 mmol) and benzylamine (0.03 mL, 0.304 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) to afford 5x (84.2 mg, 83% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.42$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.47 (dd, J = 1.2, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.20 (m, 6H), 7.11 (dd, J = 3.6, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (dd, J = 1.8, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (dd, J = 2.1, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (dd, I = 8.4, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, I = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 2H), 3.71 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.37 (dd, J= 12.3, 15.6 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (dd, J = 8.5, 15.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.1, 159.1, 154.2, 137.0, 134.4, 131.1, 129.2, 128.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.8, 114.1, 98.9, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 63.2, 55.2, 50.3, 49.8, 48.6, 38.3. IR: 2944 (s), 2835 (s), 1662 (s), 1596 (s), 1509 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{24}H_{23}NO_3S_2$ 405.1399; found, 405.1402.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxopyrrolidine-3-carboxylate (5y). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4y (100 mg, 0.378 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂. 6H₂O (20.8 mg, 0.0568 mmol) and benzylamine (0.05 mL, 0.454 mmol) in DCM (6 mL) to afford 5y (81 mg, 63% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.11$) as a colorless oil. Diastereomeric ratio: 2:1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.30–7.22 (m, 5.00), 7.18–7.12 (m, 1.50), 7.08–6.99 (m, 4.73), 6.94-6.86 (m, 3.19), 5.05 (dd, J = 14.5, 19.8 Hz, 1.51), 4.51 (dd, J = 5.7, 8.2 Hz, 0.80), 4.29 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 0.70), 3.84–3.81 (m, 6.41), 3.80 (s, 2.52), 3.74-3.68 (m, 1.27), 3.65-3.54 (m, 1.53), 3.52-3.42 (m, 1.95), 2.74-2.64 (m, 0.97), 2.63-2.54 (m, 0.68), 2.41-2.32 (m, 0.77), 2.17–2.06 (m, 0.93). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 170.6, 170.0, 159.5, 135.7, 131.3, 128.6, 128.1, 127.5, 114.3, 59.5, 59.1, 55.2, 52.6, 48.2, 47.9, 44.5, 32.5, 32.1. IR: 2953 (s), 1739 (s), 1686 (m), 1612 (s), 1586 (s), 1513 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO₄, 339.1471; found, 339.1470.

1-(1-Benzyl-2-methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)ethan-1-one (5z). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane 4z (80 mg, 0.396 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (21.7 mg, 0.0594 mmol) and benzylamine (0.05 mL, 0.475 mmol) in DCM (7 mL) to afford 5z (89.4 mg, 78% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, R_f = 0.037) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.38–7.27 (m, 6H), 7.23–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (dd, J = 7.9, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (ddd, J = 1.1, 12.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (ddd, J = 1.2, 7.9, 14.3 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.3, 160.8, 142.0, 136.4, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.5, 127.0, 127.0, 105.9, 77.3, 77.0, 76.8, 65.0, 47.2, 38.7, 29.0, 13.2. IR: 3028 (s), 2917 (s), 1712 (s), 1621 (m), 1536 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₀H₂₁NO, 291.1623; found, 291.1622.

1-(1-Benzyl-2,5-diphenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)ethan-1-one (**5aa**). According to the general procedure, cyclopropane **4aa** (90 mg, 0.341 mmol) was added to a stirring solution of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (18.7 mg, 0.0511 mmol) and benzylamine (0.04 mL, 0.409 mmol) in DCM (8 mL) to afford **5aa** (60.9 mg, 51% yield) after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.037$) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.57–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.28 (m, 9H), 7.27–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.10–7.05 (m, 2H), 4.70–4.55 (m, 2H), 3.93 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J = 11.6, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (dd, J = 7.9, 14.8 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 190.3, 162.7, 143.2, 141.5, 136.1, 129.3, 128.8, 128.8, 128.8, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 127.2, 127.0, 126.9, 107.2, 77.4, 77.0, 76.6, 65.2, 47.5, 39.0, 14.0. IR: 3059 (s), 3028 (s), 2922 (s), 1712 (s), 1598 (m), 1538 (m) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₂₃NO, 353.1780; found, 353.1769.

Synthesis of Pyrroles. Methyl (5)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1-(1-phenylethyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (7n). DDQ (44.9 mg, 0.198 mmol) was added to a solution of dihydropyrrole 5n (54.5 mg, 0.132 mmol) in toluene (6.6 mL) to afford pyrrole 7n (35 mg, 65%) as a colorless oil after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.51$). ¹H NMR (500

MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.34–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 4H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.84–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.78–6.75 (m, 3H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 5.41 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 1.54 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 165.1, 159.2, 142.1, 139.3, 134.5, 132.7, 131.9, 130.5, 128.1, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.1, 126.1, 125.6, 125.5, 113.3, 77.3, 77.0, 76.8, 55.2, 54.0, 50.7, 19.4. IR: 2953 (s), 2941 (s), 1702 (m), 1611 (s), 1566 (s), 1533 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for $C_{27}H_{25}NO_{3}$, 411.1834; found, 411.1836.

Methyl 1-Benzyl-2-ethyl-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (7w). DDQ (39.6 mg, 0.174 mmol) was added to a solution of dihydropyrrole 5w (40.8 mg, 0.116 mmol) in toluene (5.8 mL) to afford pyrrole 7w (25 mg, 62%) as a colorless oil after purification via flash chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane, $R_f = 0.54$). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.31–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.19–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 6.84–6.80 (m, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.87 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 165.7, 159.2, 142.6, 138.2, 133.7, 130.5, 128.8, 128.8, 127.3, 125.5, 125.0, 113.8, 111.3, 109.5, 77.3, 77.0, 76.8, 55.2, 50.8, 47.4, 19.2, 14.1. IR: 2943 (s), 1713 (m), 1610 (m), 1567 (s) cm⁻¹. HRMS (EI) m/z: [M]⁺ calcd for C₂₂H₂₃NO₃, 349.1678; found, 349.1682.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: stefan.france@chemistry.gatech.edu.

Note:

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.F. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the National Science Foundation (CAREER award CHE-1056687).

REFERENCES

- (1) 2,3-Dihydropyrroles are also referred to as 2-pyrrolines in the literature.
- (2) (a) Bellina, F.; Rossi, R. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7213.
 (b) Donohoe, T. J.; Thomas, R. E. Chem. Rec. 2007, 7, 180.
 (c) Smith, A. B., III; Charnley, A. K.; Hirschmann, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 180.
- (3) Ye, Z.; Shi, L.; Shao, X.; Xu, X.; Xu, Z.; Li, Z. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 312.
- (4) (a) Li, X.; Li, J. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2010, 10, 794. (b) Mal, D.; Shome, B.; Dinda, B. K. Heterocycl. Nat. Prod. Synth. 2011, 187. (c) Stocker, B. L.; Dangerfield, E. M.; Win-Mason, A. L.; Haslett, G. W.; Timmer, M. S. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1615. (d) Tejero, T.; Merino, P.; Delso, I.; Sadaba, D. Targets Heterocycl. Syst. 2011, 15, 164. (5) (a) Bauer, I.; Knoelker, H.-J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2012, 309, 203. (b) Biava, M.; Porretta, G. C.; Poce, G.; Battilocchio, C.; Alfonso, S.; de Logu, A.; Manetti, F.; Botta, M. ChemMedChem 2011, 6, 593. (c) Clive, D. L. J.; Cheng, P. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 5067. (d) Dydio, P.; Lichosyt, D.; Jurczak, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2971. (e) Russel, J. S.; Pelkey, E. T.; Yoon-Miller, S. J. P. Prog. Heterocycl. Chem. 2011, 22, 143. (f) Young, I. S.; Thornton, P. D.; Thompson, A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 1801.
- (6) For representative examples of transition metal-promoted syntheses, see: (a) Shi, Z.; Suri, M.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4892. (b) Zhu, Y.; Zhai, C.; Yue, Y.; Yang, L.; Hu, W. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1362. (c) Wender, P. A.; Strand, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7528. (d) Ma, S.; Yu, F.; Li, J.; Gao, W. Chem.—Eur. J. 2007, 13, 247. (e) Zhou, X.; Zhang, H.; Yuan, J.; Mai, L.; Li, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7236. (f) Wolf, L. B.; Tjen, K. C. M. F.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Hiemstra, H.; Schoemaker, H. E. Tetrahedron Lett.

- 1998, 39, 5081. (g) Busacca, C. A.; Dong, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3947. (h) Xu, X.; Zhang, Y. Synth. Commun. 2003, 33, 1095.
- (7) For an example of ring-closing metathesis to form 2,3-dihydropyrroles, see: Kinderman, S. S.; van Maarseveen, J. H.; Schoemaker, H. E.; Hiemstra, H.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2045.
- (8) For an example of a Lewis acid-catalyzed synthesis, see: Fan, J.; Gao, L.; Wang, Z. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5021.
- (9) For recent examples of organocatalytic syntheses, see: (a) Guo, C.; Xue, M.-X.; Zhu, M.-K.; Gong, L.-Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3414. (b) Zhang, G.; Zhang, Y.; Jiang, X.; Yan, W.; Wang, R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3806. (c) Morin, M. S. T.; Aly, S.; Arndtsen, B. A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 883.
- (10) For representative examples of I₂-promoted syntheses of 2,3-dihydropyrroles, see: (a) Knight, D. W.; Redfern, A. L.; Gilmore, J. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 2207. (b) Knight, D. W.; Redfern, A. L.; Gilmore, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1 2002**, 622. (c) Ding, C.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Hou, X.-L. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9586.
- (11) Other approaches to 2,3-dihydropyrroles include (a) Polindara-Garcia, L. A.; Miranda, L. D. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5408. (b) Feldman, K. S.; Bruendl, M. M.; Schildknegt, K.; Bohnstedt, A. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 5440.
- (12) (a) Jacoby, D.; Celerier, J. P.; Haviari, G.; Petit, H.; Lhommet, G. Synthesis 1992, 884. (b) Maruoka, H.; Okabe, F.; Yamagata, K. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2007, 44, 201. (c) Wurz, R. P.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2313.
- (13) (a) Patil, D. V.; Phun, L. H.; France, S. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5684. (b) Phun, L. H.; Patil, D. V.; Cavitt, M. A.; France, S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1952. (c) Patil, D. V.; Cavitt, M. A.; Grzybowski, P.; France, S. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10278.
- (14) Lifchits, O.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2809.
- (15) Zhou, Y.-Y.; Wang, L.-J.; Li, J.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9066.
- (16) At higher amine loadings in the reactions at reflux, more side product was observed. Thus, the effect of lowering the amine loading was examined
- (17) Comparable yields were obtained with 1,2-dichloroethane at reflux.
- (18) (a) Nishimura, T.; Yasuhara, Y.; Sawano, T.; Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 7872. (b) Sawano, T.; Ashouri, A.; Nishimura, T.; Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 18936. (c) Silvi, M.; Chatterjee, I.; Liu, Y.; Melchiorre, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 10780.
- (19) This transformation can be formally classified as a vinylogous retro-aldol reaction. For a representative examples, see: (a) Payette, J. N.; Honda, T.; Yoshizawa, H.; Favaloro, F. G.; Gribble, G. W. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 416. (b) Swaminathan, S.; John, J. P.; Ramachandran, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1962, 3, 729.
- (20) Barna, A. V.; Lampeka, Y. D. Theor. Exp. Chem. 2007, 43, 204.
- (21) To rule out the possibility of Brønsted acid mediation, a range of Brønsted acids were investigated. No reactivity was observed for Brønsted acids from $pK_a < 1$ (TsOH, HClO₄, and (RO)₂P(O)OH) to acids in the range of $pK_a \le 10$ (PPTS, NEt₃HCl, and NH₄Cl).
- (22) For less nucleophilic amines, the reactions were in 1,2-dichloroethane.
- (23) For representative examples of the formation of putative Ni(II)-alkyne complexes, see: (a) Beaver, M. G.; Jamison, T. F. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4140. (b) Gao, Q.; Zheng, B.-F.; Li, J.-H.; Yang, D. Org. Lett. 2006, 7, 2185. (c) Molinaro, C.; Jamison, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8076.
- (24) No reactivity was observed in CH_2Cl_2 (or 1,2-DCE) without the Ni catalyst for both the standard and tandem cyclopropanation/cyclization reactions. Only when the reaction was heated in toluene was any reactivity observed (\sim 23% yield) in the standard reaction.
- (25) Funke, C.; Es-Sayed, M.; de Meijere, A. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4249.
- (26) Gonzalez-Bobes, F.; Fenster, M. D. B.; Kiau, S.; Kolla, L.; Kolotuchin, S.; Soumeillant, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 813.
- (27) Gopinath, P.; Chandrasekaran, S. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 700.

(28) Marcoux, D.; Charette, A. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 10155.